Form Revision Date: 3/20/2019

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS (HMEP) FFY 2019 GRANT PROGRAM APPLICATION SOFT MATCH

Contact iema.grants@illinois.gov for programmatic and technical support.

Name of the Awarding State Agency:		Illinois Emergency Management Agency (IEMA)		
Catalog of State Financial Ass Number:	sistance (CSFA)	558-40-0441		
CSFA Title:		Hazardous Materials Emergency Preparedness Grant Program		
CFDA Number:		20.703		
CFDA Title:		Hazardous Materials Emergency Preparedness Grant Program		
Funding Opportunity Number:		n/a		
Funding Opportunity Title:		Hazardous Materials Emergency Preparedness Grant Program		
Funding Opportunity Program	Field:	HMEP		
Competition Identification Nun	nber:	n/a		
Competition Identification Title):	n/a		
Grant Program Local Match P	ercentage:	20.00%		
	APPLICA [*]	TION SUMMARY		
Subrecipient:	ABC County	or City of ABC		
Project 100% Amount:	\$ 258,630.39			
Required Minimum Match:	\$ 51,726.08			
Requested Federal Share:	\$ 204,751.2	7		

SUBRECIPIENT INFORMATION

Subrecipient: ABC Co		ABC Cou	inty or C	ity	of AE	3C		
Employer/Taxpayer Identification Number (EIN, TIN): 99999			9999999	99				
Data Univer (DUNS) Nur	sal Numbe	r System	9999999	99				
Cage Code:			55555					
SAM Expira	tion Date:		08/30/20)20		M	IM/DD/Y	YYY (Must be older than today)
IEMA Regio	n #:		6					
			BUSI	NESS ADI	ORE	ESS		
Street:			ABC Mair	n Street				
City:			ABCDEF					
State:			IL					
County:			ABC Cou	nty				
ZIP+4:			555554444					
			GRANT POINT OF CONTACT					
First Name:	Johr	ו		Last Na	ame) :	Doe	
Street Addre	ess:	GPOC S	treet					
City:		GPOC C	ity					
County:	GPOC (County		State:	Ш	_	ZIP:	55555
Email:	Bob.P.E	vans@illir	nois.gov	Phone:		(222)	222-2	222
		CHIE	F ELECTED	OFFICAL	/ A[OMINIS	TRATO	₹
First Name: Jane				Last Name: Smith			1	
Title: County Bo			oard Cha	air				
Street Address: CEO Stre			eet					
City: CEO City			/					
County:	CEO C	ounty		State:		L	ZIP:	55555
Email:	Bob.P.I	Evans@ill	inois.gov	Phone:		(222) 222-2	2222

BUDGET SUMMARY

BUDGET CATEGORY	FEDERAL / STATE PASSTHROUGH	LOCAL MATCH	TOTAL
1. Personnel (200.430)	\$ 79,025.00	\$ 20,725.00	\$ 99,750.00
2. Fringe Benefits (200.431)	\$ 17,326.27	\$ 4,454.12	\$ 21,780.39
3. Travel (200.474)	\$ 2,400.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 3,000.00
4. Equipment (200.439)			\$ 0.00
5. Supplies (200.94)	\$ 4,800.00	\$ 1,200.00	\$ 6,000.00
6. Contractual/Subawards (200.318 and .92)	\$ 12,200.00	\$ 2,300.00	\$ 14,500.00
7. Consultant (200.459)	\$ 80,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
8. Construction	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
9. Occupancy (200.465)	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 10,000.00
10. Research and Development (200.87)	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
11. Telecommunications	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 3,600.00
12. Training and Education (200.472)	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
13. Direct Administrative Costs (200.413)	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
14. Miscellaneous Costs	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
15. Grant Exclusive Line Item(s)	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
16. Total Direct Costs (add lines 1-15)	\$ 204,751.27	\$ 51,879.12	\$ 258,630.39
17. Total Indirect Costs (200.414)			
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS			\$ 258,630.39
TOTAL MATCH OFFERED		\$ 51,879.12	
AMOUNT BEING REQUESTED IN FEDERAL PASSTHROUGH AND STATE FUNDS	\$ 204,751.27		

PERSONNEL

Enter the number of people on GRANT PROGRAM staff for which reimbursement is being requested:

37.50

2

Enter the standard work week in hours for your organization: (STANDARD WORK WEEK means a 35-40 hour work week)

LINE#	TITLE	NAME	GRANT PROGRAM % of Salary	Total Annual Salary from local government	Annual Salary for GRANT PROGRAM Only	MATCH
1.	EMA Coordinator	Mike Johnson (Year 1)	3.50%	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 1,750.00	No
2.	HMEP Planner	Jane Wilson (Year 1)	80.00%	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 24,000.00	No
3.			0.00%			Yes
4.	EMA Coordinator	Mike Johnson (Year 1 match)	1.50%	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 750.00	Yes
5.	HMEP Planner	Jane Wilson (Year 1 match)	20.00%	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 6,000.00	Yes
6.			0.00%			Yes
7.	EMA Coordinator	Mike Johnson (Year 2)	3.50%	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 1,750.00	No
8.	HMEP Planner	Jane Wilson (Year 2)	80.00%	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 24,000.00	No
9.			0.00%		\$ 0.00	Yes
10.	EMA Coordinator	Mike Johnson (Year 2 match)	1.50%	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 750.00	Yes
11.	HMEP Planner	Jane Wilson (Year 2 match)	20.00%	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 6,000.00	Yes
12.			0.00%			Yes
13.	EMA Coordinator	Mike Johnson (Year 3)	3.50%	\$ 55,000.00	\$ 1,925.00	No
14.	HMEP Planner	Jane Wilson (Year 3)	80.00%	\$ 32,000.00	\$ 25,600.00	No

Continued on next page...

PERSONNEL – Continued

LINE#	TITLE	NAME	GRANT PROGRAM % of Salary	Total Annual Salary from local government	Annual Salary for GRANT PROGRAM Only	MATCH	
15.	EMA Coordinator	Mike Johnson (Year 3 Match)	1.50%	\$ 55,000.00	\$ 825.00	Yes	
16.	HMEP Planner	Jane Wilson (Year 3 Match)	20.00%	\$ 32,000.00	\$ 6,400.00	Yes	
17.			0.00%			Yes	
18.			0.00%			Yes	
19.			0.00%			Yes	
20.			0.00%			Yes	
21.			0.00%			Yes	
22.			0.00%			Yes	
23.			0.00%			Yes	
24.			0.00%			Yes	
25.			0.00%			Yes	
	TOTAL SALARIES FOR GRANT PROGRAM WORK ONLY:						

PERSONNEL – Continued

Do any of the Grant Program employees listed on the previous page divide their work between this GRANT PROGRAM and another GRANT PROGRAM, department in the county, or municipal government?

No

If the answer is YES, list the job title, name, and department or grant worked for, percentage of time worked for other department or grant, and annual salary in that job in the follow section:

	NON-GRANT PROGRAM OR OTHER DEPARTMENT WORK						
LINE#	TITLE	NAME	Name of "Other Department" or Grant	% OF TIME WORKED FOR "Other Department" OR GRANT	ANNUAL SALARY FOR WORK FROM "Other Department"		
1.	Mike Johnson	EMA Coordinator	EMA	95.00%	\$ 47,500.00		
2.				0.00%			
3.				0.00%			
4.				0.00%			
5.				0.00%			
6.				0.00%			
7.				0.00%			
8.				0.00%			
9.				0.00%			
10.				0.00%			
11.				0.00%			
12.				0.00%			
13.				0.00%			
14.				0.00%			
15.				0.00%			
16.				0.00%			
17.				0.00%			
18.				0.00%			
19.				0.00%			
20.				0.00%			
21.				0.00%			
22.				0.00%			
23.				0.00%			
24.				0.00%			
25.				0.00%			

FRINGE BENEFITS

Fringe Benefits Narrative:

EXAMPLES: (FRINGE can be calculated either through percentage or a dollar amount depending on how your jurisdiction does the calculation) The example uses both calculations.

IMRF, FICA, FICA/MED, Unemployment, Life Insurance, Medical Insurance, Worker's Compensation

(% of Gross Paycheck is the total all percentages combined into one percentage of 12.65% & Insurance is all combined into one dollar amount for the number of Annual Pay Periods)

If you aren't claiming any Fringe Benefits please enter "None" or "N/A" in this section.

LINE#	NAME	% of Gross Paycheck	Total Annual Salary	And or	Dollar Amount	Annual# of Pay Periods	A Gross Benefit Annual Total	B GRANT PROGRAM % of Salary	АХВ	MATCH
1.	Mike Johnson -Year 1	12.65%	\$ 50,000.00	And or			\$ 6,325.00	3.50%	\$ 221.38	No
2.	Jane Wilson-Year 1	0.00%	\$ 0.00	And or	\$ 250.00	26	\$ 6,500.00	80.00%	\$ 5,200.00	No
3.		0.00%		And or			\$ 0.00	0.00%	\$ 0.00	No
4.		0.00%		And or			\$ 0.00	0.00%	\$ 0.00	Yes
5.	Year 1 Match	0.00%		And or			\$ 0.00	0.00%	\$ 0.00	Yes
6.	Mike Johnson	12.65%	\$ 50,000.00	And or			\$ 6,325.00	1.50%	\$ 94.88	Yes
7.	Jane Wilson	0.00%		And or	\$ 250.00	26	\$ 6,500.00	20.00%	\$ 1,300.00	Yes
8.		0.00%		And or			\$ 0.00	0.00%	\$ 0.00	Yes
9.	Year 2	0.00%		And or			\$ 0.00	0.00%	\$ 0.00	Yes
10.	Mike Johnson	12.65%	\$ 50,000.00	And or			\$ 6,325.00	3.50%	\$ 221.38	No
11.	Jane Wilson	0.00%		And or	\$ 250.00	26	\$ 6,500.00	80.00%	\$ 5,200.00	No
12.		0.00%		And or			\$ 0.00	0.00%	\$ 0.00	Yes
13.	Year 2 Match	0.00%		And or			\$ 0.00	0.00%	\$ 0.00	Yes
14.	Mike Johnson	12.65%	\$ 50,000.00	And or			\$ 6,325.00	1.50%	\$ 94.88	Yes

Continued on next page...

FRINGE BENEFITS - Continued

LINE#	NAME	% of Gross Paycheck	Total Annual Salary	And or	Dollar Amount	Annual # of Pay Periods	A Gross Benefit Annual Total	B GRANT PROGRAM % of Salary	АХВ	MATCH
15.	Jane Wilson	0.00%		And or	\$ 250.00	26	\$ 6,500.00	20.00%	\$ 1,300.00	Yes
16.	Year 3	0.00%		And or			\$ 0.00	0.00%	\$ 0.00	Yes
17.	Mike Johnson	12.65%	\$ 55,000.00	And or			\$ 6,957.50	3.50%	\$ 243.51	No
18.	Jane Wilson	0.00%		And or	\$ 300.00	26	\$ 7,800.00	80.00%	\$ 6,240.00	No
19.		0.00%		And or			\$ 0.00	0.00%	\$ 0.00	Yes
20.	Year 3 Match	0.00%		And or			\$ 0.00	0.00%	\$ 0.00	Yes
21.	Mike Johnson	12.65%	\$ 55,000.00	And or			\$ 6,957.50	1.50%	\$ 104.36	Yes
22.	Jane Wilson	0.00%		And or	\$ 300.00	26	\$ 7,800.00	20.00%	\$ 1,560.00	Yes
23.		0.00%		And or				0.00%		Yes
24		0.00%		And or				0.00%		Yes
25.		0.00%		And or				0.00%		Yes
	TOTAL BENEFITS FOR GRANT PROGRAM WORK						\$ 21,78	0.39		

TRAVEL

Local Government Has No Travel Regulations - If this is the case, you will be covered by current state of Illinois travel regulations. State Travel Board site link	0
Local Government Has Travel Regulations	
 If you are awarded a HMEP grant, you will be required to uploaded your local travel regulations to the IEMA Grants Portal at that time. 	•

If you chose Local Government Has Travel Regulations, complete the boxes below:			
Local Mileage (cents per mile)	\$ 0.54		
Meals and/or per diem	\$ 28.00		
Lodging Allowance	\$ 80.00		

Is any of the travel requested out of the state of Illinois?	No

LINE#	TRAVEL ACTIVITY	AMOUNT	MATCH			
1.	Mileage, meals, lodging for attending HMEP meetings and conferences (Year 1)	\$ 800.00	No			
2.	Mileage, meals, lodging for attending HMEP meetings and conferences (Year 1 match)	\$ 200.00	Yes			
3.	Mileage, meals, lodging for attending HMEP meetings and conferences (Year 2)	\$ 800.00	No			
4.	Mileage, meals, lodging for attending HMEP meetings and conferences (Year 2 match)	\$ 200.00	Yes			
5.	Mileage, meals, lodging for attending HMEP meetings and conferences (Year 3)	\$ 800.00	No			
6.	Mileage, meals, lodging for attending HMEP meetings and conferences (Year 3 match)	\$ 200.00	Yes			
7.		\$ 0.00	Yes			
8.	(Mileage claims only for personal vehicles)	\$ 0.00	Yes			
9.	(Conference registration fees listed under contractual/sub-awards)	\$ 0.00	Yes			
10.		\$ 0.00	Yes			
11.		\$ 0.00	Yes			
12.		\$ 0.00	Yes			
13.		\$ 0.00	Yes			
14.		\$ 0.00	Yes			
15.		\$ 0.00	Yes			
	TOTAL TRAVEL EXPENSES: \$ 3,					

EQUIPMENT

LINE#	AEL	DESCRIPTION	QTY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL	EHP	NARRATIVE	MATCH
1.						No		Yes
2.						No		Yes
3.						No		Yes
4.						No		Yes
5.						No		Yes
6.						No		Yes
7.						No		Yes
8.						No		Yes
9.						No		Yes
10.						No		Yes
11.						No		Yes
12						No		Yes
13.						No		Yes
14.						No		Yes
15.						No		Yes

Continued on next page...

EQUIPMENT - Continued

LINE#	AEL AEL	DESCRIPTION	QTY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL	EHP	NARRATIVE	MATCH
16.						No		Yes
17.						No		Yes
18.						No		Yes
19.						No		Yes
20.						No		Yes
21.						No		Yes
22.						No		Yes
23.						No		Yes
24.						No		Yes
25.						No		Yes
26.						No		Yes
27.						No		Yes
28.						No		Yes
29.						No		Yes
30.						No		Yes
31.						No		Yes
32.						No		Yes
33.						No		Yes
34.						No		Yes
35.						No		Yes
36.						No		Yes
37.						No		Yes
38.						No		Yes
39.						No		Yes
40.						No		Yes
41.						No		Yes
42						No		Yes
43.						No		Yes
44.						No		Yes
45.						No		Yes
		Total Equipment						

SUPPLIES

LINE#	ITEM	QTY	COST PER ITEM	SUPPLIES COST	MATCH
1.	Office supplies-pens, folders, binders, postage etc. (All years)	1	\$ 800.00	\$ 800.00	No
2.	Office supplies-pens, folders, binders, postage etc. (All years match)	1	\$ 200.00	\$ 200.00	Yes
3.	Consumable exercise supplies (All years)	1	\$ 350.00	\$ 350.00	No
4.	Consumable exercise supplies (All years match)	1	\$ 150.00	\$ 150.00	Yes
5.	National Fire Protection 472 Guide	20	\$ 20.00	\$ 400.00	No
6.	National Fire Protection 472 Guide (match)	5	\$ 20.00	\$ 100.00	Yes
7.	Laptop	1	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,500.00	No
8.	Laptop (match)	1	\$500.00	\$ 500.00	Yes
9.	Paper and maps	1	\$ 750.00	\$ 750.00	No
10.	Paper and maps (match)	1	\$ 250.00	\$ 250.00	Yes
11.	(All supplies listed can only be used for HMEP)			\$ 0.00	Yes
12.					Yes
13.					Yes
14.					Yes
15.					Yes

Continued on next page...

SUPPLIES - continued

LINE#	ITEM	QTY	COST PER ITEM	SUPPLIES COST	MATCH
16.				3001	Yes
17.					Yes
18.					Yes
19.					Yes
20.					Yes
21.					Yes
22.					Yes
23.					Yes
24.					Yes
25.					Yes
26.					Yes
27.					Yes
28.					Yes
29.					Yes
30.					Yes
31.					Yes
32.					Yes
33.					Yes
34.					Yes
35.					Yes
36.					Yes
37.				-	Yes
38.					Yes
39.					Yes
40.					Yes
41.					Yes
42.					Yes
43.					Yes
44.					Yes
45.					Yes
	TOTAL SUPPLIES			\$ 6,000.00	

CONTRACTUAL / SUBAWARDS

LINE#	ITEM	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	MATCH
1.	Copier rental (All years)	\$ 400.00	No
2.	Copier rental (All years) match	\$ 100.00	Yes
3.	HMEP/HAZMAT Transportation Conference Registration Fees (All years)	\$ 800.00	No
4.	HMEP/HAZMAT Transportation Conference Registration Fees (All years) match	\$ 200.00	Yes
5.	Software Annual License and Maintenance for HMEP (All years)	\$ 1,250.00	No
6.	Software Annual License and Maintenance for HMEP (All years) Match	\$ 250.00	Yes
7.	Commodity Flow Study Fees (Companies only) (All years)	\$ 8,500.00	No
8.	Commodity Flow Study Fees (Companies only) (All years) match	\$ 1,500.00	Yes
9.	Website fee for HMEP only (All years)	\$ 1,250.00	No
10.	Website fee for HMEP only (All years) Match	\$ 250.00	Yes
11.		\$ 0.00	Yes
12.	(All contractual expenses can only be for HMEP)	\$ 0.00	Yes
13.		\$ 0.00	Yes
14.		\$ 0.00	Yes
15.		\$ 0.00	Yes
16.		\$ 0.00	Yes
17.		\$ 0.00	Yes
18.		\$ 0.00	Yes
19.		\$ 0.00	Yes
20.		\$ 0.00	Yes
21.		\$ 0.00	Yes
22.		\$ 0.00	Yes
23.		\$ 0.00	Yes
24.		\$ 0.00	Yes
25.		\$ 0.00	Yes

Continued on next page...

Contractual / Subawards - continued

LINE#	ITEM	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	MATCH
26.		\$ 0.00	Yes
27.		\$ 0.00	Yes
28.		\$ 0.00	Yes
29.		\$ 0.00	Yes
30.		\$ 0.00	Yes
31.		\$ 0.00	Yes
32.		\$ 0.00	Yes
33.		\$ 0.00	Yes
34.		\$ 0.00	Yes
35.		\$ 0.00	Yes
36.		\$ 0.00	Yes
37.		\$ 0.00	Yes
38.		\$ 0.00	Yes
39.		\$ 0.00	Yes
40.		\$ 0.00	Yes
41.		\$ 0.00	Yes
42.		\$ 0.00	Yes
43.		\$ 0.00	Yes
44.		\$ 0.00	Yes
45.		\$ 0.00	Yes
46.		\$ 0.00	Yes
47.		\$ 0.00	Yes
48.		\$ 0.00	Yes
49.		\$ 0.00	Yes
50.		\$ 0.00	Yes
	TOTAL CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	\$ 14,500.0	

CONSULTANT

<u>Consultant Services</u> (Fees): For each consultant enter the name, if known, service to be provided, hourly or daily fee (8-hour day), and estimated time on the project.

LINE #	CONSULTANT SERVICES (FEES)	SERVICES PROVIDED	FEE	BASIS	QUANTITY	CONSULTANT SERVICES (FEE) COST	MATCH
1.	Jim Jones	Commodity Flow Study	\$ 100.00	hour	800.00	\$ 80,000.00	No
2.	Jim Jones	Commodity Flow Study	\$ 100.00	hour	200.00	\$ 20,000.00	Yes
3.							Yes
4.							Yes
5.							Yes
6.							Yes
7.							Yes
8.							Yes
9.							Yes
10.							Yes
11.							Yes
12.							Yes
13.							Yes
14.							Yes
15.							Yes
16.							Yes
17.							Yes
18.							Yes
19.							Yes
20.							Yes
21.							Yes
22.							Yes
23.							Yes
24.							Yes
25.							Yes
		TOTAL CONSU	LTANT SERVICES (FEES)		\$ 100,000.0	00

Consultant - continued

<u>Consultant Expenses</u>: List all expenses to be paid from the grant to the individual consultant in addition to their fees (i.e., travel, meals, lodging, etc.)

Consultant- Indicate whether applicant's formal, written Procurement Policy or the Federal Acquisitions Policy is used.

LINE #	CONSULTANT EXPENSES ITEMS	LOCATION	COST RATE	BASIS	QUANTITY	# OF TRIPS	CONSULTANT EXPENSES COST	MATCH
1.								Yes
2.								Yes
3.								Yes
4.								Yes
5.								Yes
6.								Yes
7.								Yes
8.								Yes
9.								Yes
10.								Yes
11.								Yes
12.								Yes
13.								Yes
14.								Yes
15.								Yes
16.								Yes
17.								Yes
18.								Yes
19.								Yes
20.								Yes
21.								Yes
22.								Yes
23.								Yes
24.								Yes
25.								Yes
		TOTAL CON	L ISULTANT F	L EXPENSES				
			,,,,,,					

OCCUPANCY (Page 1)

This section of the application is for requesting reimbursement of rent, janitorial, maintenance, utility service charges, yard maintenance, and snow removal.

Read each section carefully, fill out information accurately, and provide all documentation as requested.

REIMBURSEMENT WILL BE BASED ON THE FOLLOWING FACTS				
Location of Property ABC Street				
Owner of Property	ABC Co	unty		
Total Square Footage of Area 1200				

REIMBURSEMENT REQUEST FOR THE FOLLOWING COSTS OR SERVICES									
1.			Rent	Yearly Cost \$	\$	8,00	0.00	Match	No
	Rent Includes: (Checkmark if applicable)								
	Janitorial Services Utilities								
			√ Yard			√	Snow		

2.	Janitorial Maintenance	Yearly Cost \$	\$ 0.00	Match	Yes
3.	Utilities	Yearly Cost \$	\$ 0.00	Match	Yes
4.	Yard / Snow	Yearly Cost \$	\$ 0.00	Match	Yes

OCCUPANCY COSTS	\$ 8,000.00
00001711101 00010	φο,οοο.οο

OCCUPANCY (Page 2)

RE	REIMBURSEMENT WILL BE BASED ON THE FOLLOWING FACTS					
Location of Property ABC Street						
Owner of Property	ABC Co	unty				
Total Square Footage of Area		1200				

REIMBURSEMENT REQUEST FOR THE FOLLOWING COSTS OR SERVICES								
1.		Rent	Yearly Cost \$	\$ 2,000.00		Match	Yes	
	Rent Includes: (Checkmark if applicable)							
		Janitorial Services Utilities			Utilities			
	Yard				\checkmark	Snow		

2.	Janitorial Maintenance	Yearly Cost \$	\$ 0.00	Match	Yes
3.	Utilities	Yearly Cost \$	\$ 0.00	Match	Yes
4.	Yard / Snow	Yearly Cost \$	\$ 0.00	Match	Yes

TOTAL OCCUPANCY COSTS	\$ 10,000.00
-----------------------	--------------

TELECOMMUNICATIONS

LINE#	TELECOMMUNICATION DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	COST PER ITEM	TELECOM COST	MATCH
1.	HMEP Planner Cell phone (All years)	1.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	No
2.	123-456-7899				Yes
3.					Yes
4.	HMEP Planner Cell phone (All years) match	1.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	Yes
5.	123-456-7899				Yes
6.					Yes
7.	(All telecommunications charges for HMEP only)				Yes
8.					Yes
9.					Yes
10.					Yes
11.					Yes
12.					Yes
13.					Yes
14.					Yes
15.					Yes
16.					Yes
17.					Yes
18.					Yes
19.					Yes
20.					Yes
	TOTAL TELECOMMUNICATIO	NS		\$ 3,600.00	

INDIRECT COSTS

Indirect Cost Rate Information

If your organization is requesting reimbursement for indirect costs on line 17 of the Budget Summary, please select one of the following options.

In order for your organization to be reimbursed for the Indirect Costs from the State of Illinois your organization must either:

- a. Have a negotiated federal Indirect Cost Rate; or
- b. Elect to use the de minimis rate of 10% modified for total direct costs (MTDC).

If no reimbursement is being requested please consult your program office regarding possible match requirements.

			SELECT (ONLY	ONE				
0	 Our Organization receives direct Federal funding and currently has a Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA) with our federal Cognizant Agency. A copy of this agreement will be provided to the State of Illinois' Indirect Cost Unit for review and documentation before reimbursement is allowed. This NICRA will be accepted by all State of Illinois agencies up to any statutory, rule-based or programmatic restrictions or limitations. 								
0	Illinois that v programma Proposal to 200, Appen	2. Our Organization currently has a Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA) with the State of Illinois that will be accepted by all State of Illinois agencies up to any statutory, rule-based or programmatic restrictions or limitations. Our Organization is required to submit a new Indirect Cost Rate Proposal to the Indirect Cost Unit within 6 months after the close of each fiscal year pursuant to 2 CFR 200, Appendix IV(C)(2)(c).							
0	3. Our Organization currently does not have a Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA) with the State of Illinois. Our organization will submit our initial Indirect Cost Rate Proposal (ICRP) immediately after our Organization is advised that the State award will be made no later than 3 months after the effective date of the State award pursuant to 2 CFR 200 Appendix (C)(2)(b). The initial ICRP will be sent to the State of Illinois Indirect Cost unit.								
0	and elects	to charge t	never received a Nego he de minimis rate of ay be used indefinitely	10% m	odified total direct		8.		
0	5. For Restricted Rate Programs, our Organization is using a restricted indirect cost rate that: is included as a "Special Indirect Cost Rate" in the NICRA, pursuant to 2 CFR 200 Appendix IV(5); or Complies with other statutory policies. RATE %								
O	6. No reimburs	sement of I	ndirect Cost is being r	equest	ted				
	Basic Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Information (Use only if option 1 or 2, above is selected.)						ed.)		
Period	Period Covered by NICRA: From: To: 2018								
Approv	ing Federal or State	Agency:				1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13			
Indirect Cost Rate: O.00% The Distribution Base Is: 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27									
		•				28 29 30 31			

INDIRECT COSTS

Indirect Cost Table

Indirect costs are allowed only if the applicant has federally approved indirect cost rate. A copy of the rate approval, (a fully executed, negotiated agreement), must be attached. If the applicant does not have an approved rate, one can be requested by contacting the applicant's cognizant Federal agency, which will review all documentation and approve a rate for the applicant organization, or if the applicant's accounting system permits, costs may be allocated in the direct costs categories.

LINE#	DESCRIPTION	BASE	RATE	INDIRECT COST	MATCH
1.					Yes
2.					Yes
3.					Yes
4.					Yes
5.					Yes
6.					Yes
7.					Yes
8.					Yes
9.					Yes
10.					Yes
11.					Yes
12.					Yes
13.					Yes
14.					Yes
15.					Yes
	TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS				

PROGRAM NARRATIVE

Provide a brief description of the proposed activities that summarizes the use of the grant award. Please note that all grant activities must come from one of the three eligible grant programmatic categories. The eligible grant programmatic categories consist of:

- 1. Writing or Updating hazardous materials transportation plans
- 2. Exercising the hazardous materials transportation plans
- 3. Commodity Flow Studies

During the FFY 19-22 HMEP grant performance period, ABC County will update the HAZMAT transportation plans on an annual basis including all information gathered from hazard analysis, commodity flow studies, exercises, and actual incidents.

ABC County will have a HMEP planner that is dedicated to updating the plans, along with coordinating the HAZMAT transportation exercise.

ABC County will conduct an exercise along with partners in XYZ county that will be a train derailment simulation involving multiple hazardous materials. ABC and XYZ counties will first conduct a table top exercise and if funding permits a functional or full scale exercise could also be conducted.

Also, ABC County will partner with XYZ county on a commodity flow study that will be conducted using a company that specializes in commodity flow studies.

The results of the exercise and commodity flow study will be shared with IEMA, as well as incorporated into the HAZMAT transportation plan updates.

ABC County will also send the HMEP planner, and LEPC members to both the Winnebago LEPC workshop/exercise and the LEPC/SERC sessions at the IEMA training summit during the 3 year grant performance period.

All activities that will be conducted during the 3 year performance period are budgeted and listed in the categories above and will be completed by September 30, 2022.

FFATA

The "Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) was signed on September 26, 2006. The intent is to empower every American with the ability to hold the government accountable for each spending decision. The end result is to reduce wasteful spending in the government. The FFATA legislation requires information on federal awards (federal financial assistance and expenditures) be made available to the public via a single, searchable website, which is www.USASpending.gov."

Q1. In your business or organization's previous fiscal year, did your business or organization (including parent organization, all branches and affiliates worldwide) receive (1) 80% or more of your annual gross revenues in U.S. federal contracts, subcontracts, loans, grants, subgrants and/or cooperative agreements and (2) \$25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenue from U.S. federal contracts, subcontracts, loans, grants, subgrants and/or cooperative agreements? If Yes, must answer Q2 below. If No, you are not required to provide data.	No
Q2. Does the public have access to information about the compensation of the senior executives in your business or organization (including parent organization, all branches and all affiliates worldwide) through periodic reports filed under section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Security Exchange Act of 1934 (5 U.S.C. 78m(a), 78o(d)) or section 6104 of the Internal Revenue code of 1986 (i.e., on IRS Form 990)? If No, you must provide the data. Please fill out the rest of this form.	Yes
Please provide names and total compensation of the top five officials:	

\$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00

Programmatic Risk Assessment Questionnaire

The purpose of this assessment is to evaluate the programmatic risk of the applicant. Limited program experience, protocols and internal control governing program delivery will increase an applicant's degree of risk but will not prohibit the applicant from becoming a grantee.

The programmatic risk assessment questionnaire includes 5 risk categories:

- 1. Quality of management systems
- 2. History of performance
- 3. Reports and findings from audits performed
- 4. Applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory or other requirements
- 5. Agency and/or program-specific questions

Patterns or trends in programmatic risk will influence Grants Accountability and Transparency Act (GATA) training as well as the agency's monitoring plan. Appropriate support must be provided by Grants Accountability and Transparency Unit (GATU) and the agency to build grantee capacity.

Administering the Programmatic Risk Assessment

- A. The awarding agency adds program-specific references to questions in Sections 1-4, where applicable, and agency and/or grant-specific questions under section 5. The awarding agency is responsible to ensure the applicant understands that their responses are to be specific to the associated program.
- B. The programmatic risk assessment questionnaire is distributed to the applicant by the agency prior to an awarding decision.
- C. The applicant returns the completed questionnaire to the awarding agency following the agency's protocol.
- D. The agency communicates the applicable specific condition(s) through the Notice of State Award (NOSA) and Uniform Grant Agreement (UGA).

To comply with federal risk assessment requirements of 2 CFR 200.205, the state awarding agency must review the programmatic risk posed by applicants. Illinois utilizes this programmatic risk assessment questionnaire to comply with the federal requirement.

1. Quality of Management Systems

1.1 Do you have written policies and procedures that guide program delivery on the topics of:

a.	Program outcome tracking and reporting mechanisms	Yes
b.	Relevant documentation of services/goods delivered	Yes
C.	Staff management policies and procedures	Yes
d.	Standards of conduct re: selection, award, or administration of grants	Yes
e.	Real or perceived conflict of interest re: selection, award, or administration of grants	Yes
f.	Complaint/grievance resolution policies and procedures	Yes
g.	Safeguarding funds, property and other assets against loss from unauthorized use of disposition.	Yes
h.	Management of grant terms	Yes
i.	Written approval from funding agency when key personnel change	Yes
j.	Written approval from funding agency when program scope changes	Yes

1.2 Do you have internal controls that govern program delivery on the topics of:

a.	Quality assurance reporting	Yes
b.	Unit costs, expense analysis/management	Yes

1.3 How many years of experience does the project leader have managing the scope of services required under this program?

More than five years

1.4 Does the organization have a time and effort system to track program-specific work performed?

		Yes
a.	Does the system record all time worked, including time not charged to awards?	Yes
b.	Does the system include sign-off by the employee and supervisor?	Yes

1.5 Are program payments based on a rate or unit of service?

		Yes
a.	Does the organization have written procedures to ensure accurate invoicing?	Yes
b.	Does a second person sign-off on the invoice?	Yes

1.6 Does the program have match or related requirements?

		Yes
a.	Does the organization have written procedures for match reporting?	Yes
b.	Does a second person sign-off on match reporting?	Yes

1.7 Is the organization prepared to utilize periodic performance reports to communicate program outcomes?

Performance reports are an established part of grant management procedures.

2. History of Performance

		·			
2.1	How many years of experience does your organization have with grants of comparable scope and/or capacity?				
	More than five years				
2.2	During your last two fiscal years, how frequently has the organization submitted project performance reports on time?				
	Alw	/ays			
2.3	Doe	s your organization have performance measurements that tie to financial data?			
			Yes		
2.4	2.4 Have there been any significant changes in your organization in the last fiscal year related to progra delivery:				
	a.	Management / leadership personnel	No		
	b.	Reorganization or parent / subsidiary relationships	No		
	C.	Significant changes in programs grant funded	No		
	d.	Statutory or regulatory requirements imposed on your organization type	No		
2.5	Will	a sub-grantee/sub-recipient / sub-award be utilized to manage, administer or complet	te the project?		
			N/A		
2.6	Wha	it responsibilities will the sub-grantee/sub-recipient/sub-award perform under this pro	gram?		
	a.	Participant eligibility determination	N/A		
	b.	Case management	N/A		
	C.	Performance reporting	N/A		
	d.	Financial reporting	N/A		
	e.	Invoicing	N/A		
	f.	Other	N/A		
2.7		nt percentage of grant funds does your organization anticipate passing to Sub-Grante Recipients/Sub-Awards?	es		
	N/A	A			
2.8	Doe	s your organization have an implemented policy for Sub-Grantee/Sub-Recipient moni	itoring?		
			N/A		
	If Y	ES, does it include:			
	se	elect			

3. Reports and findings from audits performed

3.1	During the last two fiscal years, has your organization been out of compliance with program and conditions of awards?	mmatic terms
	Organization has not been audited	
3.2	Have corrective actions been implemented within the specified timeframe?	
		N/A
3.3	Have there been findings regarding conflict of interest within the last two fiscal years?	
		No
3.4	Has your organization ever been subject to specific conditions due to program issues?	
		N/A
4	. Applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulator requirements	y or other
		-
	requirements To what extent does your organization have policies to ensure programmatic expenses an	-
4.1	requirements To what extent does your organization have policies to ensure programmatic expenses an necessary and prudent (sensible)?	e reasonable,
4.1	requirements To what extent does your organization have policies to ensure programmatic expenses an necessary and prudent (sensible)? Policies are implemented and followed	e reasonable,
4.1 4.2	requirements To what extent does your organization have policies to ensure programmatic expenses an necessary and prudent (sensible)? Policies are implemented and followed To what extent does your organization have policies to ensure programmatic activities are	e reasonable, allowable?

5. Agency and/or Program-Specific Questions

5.1	Has your organization identified local matching requirements, and level of effort requirement program delivery?	ts related to
		Yes
5.2	Has your organization standardized local matching requirements tracking mechanism?	
		Yes
5.3	Has your organization attended grant compliance training? <u>LINK</u>	
		N/A
5.4	Is your organization familiar with the Grantee Compliance Enforcement System?	
		N/A

CERTIFICATION

By submitting this application, I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that the information is true, complete and accurate and that any false, fictitious or fraudulent information or the omission of any material fact could result in the immediate termination of my grant award(s).

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OR EQUIVALENT					
First Name:		Boss	Last Name:		Incharge
Title Ex		ecutive Director			
Email: Bob.P		b.P.Evans@illinois.gov	Phone:	(222) 222-2222

REMITTANCE ADDRESS		
Street:	ABC Street	
City:	ABC City	
State:	IL	
County:	ABC County	
ZIP:	55555	